



Joint Council for
Qualifications

**GCSE, GCE,
Projects (including Extended Project)**

Post-Results Services

- Clerical re-checks
- Reviews of marking
- Reviews of moderation
- Access to scripts

**Information and guidance to centres for
examinations taken in:**

**June 2019 and November 2019 examination
series**

Produced on behalf of:



Awarding body contact points

Awarding Body	ON-LINE REGISTRATION, SUBMISSION AND INFORMATION PLEASE SUBMIT REQUESTS ON-LINE	Telephone Number Fax Number (only make requests by form if on-line application is not possible)	Postal Address (only make requests by form if on-line application is not possible)
AQA	e-AQA www.aqa.org.uk Select e-aqa link To register, go on-line or tel: 0844 209 6614	Tel: 0800 197 7162	Where centres are unable to submit a request using e-AQA they should contact AQA on 0800 197 7162
CCEA	www.ccea.org.uk To register please go on-line or contact the Centre Support Section on 028 9026 1200 ext 2410 or 2443 or e-mail centresupport@ccea.org.uk	Tel: 028 9026 1451 Fax: 028 9026 1290	CCEA Clarendon Dock 29 Clarendon Road Belfast BT1 3BG
City & Guilds	www.cityandguilds.com For information please e-mail policy@cityandguilds.com	Tel: 020 7294 2772	City & Guilds 1 Giltspur Street London EC1A 9DD
OCR	Interchange www.ocr.org.uk To register please visit www.ocr.org.uk or contact the OCR Customer Contact Centre on 01223 553998	Tel: 01223 553998	Where centres are unable to submit a request using Interchange they should contact the OCR Customer Contact Centre on 01223 553998
Pearson	Edexcel Online www.edexcelonline.com To register for Edexcel Online please go to: qualifications.pearson.com/en/con tact.us.html	Telephone: Home centres: 0344 463 2535 International centres: +44 (0) 1204 770696	Where centres are unable to submit a request using Edexcel Online, they should contact the Customer Service team by telephone: Home centres: 0344 463 2535 International centres: +44 (0) 1204 770696
WJEC	WJEC Secure Website www.wjecservices.co.uk To register please send an e-mail request to securewebsite@wjec.co.uk quoting centre number, centre name and contact name.	Tel: 01443 845619	Post-Results Services WJEC Unit A16/17 Gwaelod Y Garth Road Treforest Industrial Estate Pontypridd Rhondda Cynon Taf CF37 5XF

Contents	Page
SECTION ONE: General	
1. Introduction	1
2. Key dates and deadlines for the June 2019 and November 2019 series	2
3. Fees	4
SECTION TWO: Reviews of Results (RoRs) and Appeals	
4. Reviews of Results (RoRs)	
4.1 Centre responsibilities	5
4.2 Candidate consent	5
4.3 Review of Results services	6
4.4 Submission of requests	11
4.5 Acknowledgement	11
4.6 Outcome of enquiries	12
4.7 If a centre is concerned about the marking of a cohort	13
4.8 Uniform Mark Scale (UMS) Marks – unitised GCE and GCSE qualifications	14
4.9 Candidate malpractice	14
5. Appeals	15
SECTION THREE: Access to Scripts	
6. Access to Scripts (ATS)	
6.1 Arrangements for access to marked examination scripts	16
6.2 Conditions of Access to Scripts (ATS) service	16
6.3 Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking	17
6.4 Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning	17
6.5 Mark schemes	17
6.6 Disposal of scripts	18
Appendix A – Clerical re-check, review of marking and Appeals – Candidate consent form and Information for candidates	19
Appendix B - Candidate consent form for access to and use of examination scripts	20

SECTION ONE: General

1. Introduction

1.1 This booklet contains three sections:

- **Section One** provides an overview of the post-results services process;
- **Section Two** refers to Reviews of Results (clerical re-check, review of marking, review of moderation and Appeals);
- **Section Three** refers to Access to Scripts.

1.2 This booklet sets out the common arrangements for post-results services. However, awarding bodies may offer additional post-results services. As the awarding bodies will publish their own administrative guidelines on post-results services, **please read this booklet together with the information issued by the awarding bodies**. Centres should refer to awarding bodies' websites.

1.3 This booklet **does not** cover missing and incomplete results services (MIRs) which address results reported as:

- 'No Result' (X);
- 'Partial Absence' (e.g. D#);
- 'Pending' (Q).

To query such a result, please refer to the relevant documentation supplied by the individual awarding body.

The awarding body will investigate the query and will report the outcome without charge. Most queries can be resolved on the designated results day through processing checks.

1.4 Candidates following **unitised GCSE†, GCE AS and GCE A-level specifications** who have achieved sufficient unit results for a qualification award but have not claimed certification may do so retrospectively through their centre. **The centre must submit the request to the relevant awarding body before the published deadline for the series concerned.** Each awarding body will publish its own administrative guidelines, which will detail the method of submitting requests and any fee for this service.

†Centres following unitised GCSE specifications in Wales and Northern Ireland.

1.5 Centres are strongly advised to submit requests for reviews of marking for all candidates, by subject, for whom they have concerns **at the earliest opportunity**. This should include all components/units, **looking at the subject as a whole**, where the centre has concerns. The awarding body will then be able to take a holistic view of the quality of marking and initiate any investigative action which may be required in a timely fashion.

Important: Awarding bodies advise candidates and/or their centres to inform any third party (such as a university or college) of any review of marking. An awarding body will not inform UCAS or others that a review of marking has been requested. Full details on the guidance provided by UCAS may be found at:
<https://www.ucas.com/undergraduate/results-confirmation-and-clearing/results/exam-reviews-and-appeals>

2. Key dates and deadlines

2.1 To enable awarding bodies to provide an efficient service, it is essential that centres meet the following deadlines and key dates. Please note that applications **must** be submitted to awarding bodies by the relevant closing date.

Centres must submit applications on-line via the awarding bodies' extranet sites.

2.2 Key dates and deadlines for the June 2019 series

Key Date	Reviews of Results (RoRs)	Access to Scripts (ATS)
15 August	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Issue of GCE AS and A-level results Issue of Extended Project results Clerical re-checks, reviews of marking and reviews of moderation may be requested now 	GCE AS and A-level scripts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Centres may request copies of GCE AS and A-level scripts to support reviews of marking and/or teaching and learning
22 August	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Issue of GCSE results Issue of Foundation and Higher Project results Clerical re-checks, reviews of marking and reviews of moderation may be requested now 	GCSE scripts† (†see page 3) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Centres may request copies of GCSE scripts to support reviews of marking and/or teaching and learning
22 August	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DEADLINE for Priority Service 2 reviews of marking (GCE A-level qualifications only) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DEADLINE for awarding bodies to receive requests for copies of GCE AS and A-level scripts to support reviews of marking
29 August		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DEADLINE for awarding bodies to receive requests for copies of GCSE scripts to support reviews of marking
5 September		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Latest date by which centres will receive copies of scripts to support reviews of marking (GCE AS, GCE A-level and GCSE scripts)
19 September	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DEADLINE for Reviews of Results (RoRs): Last date for awarding bodies to receive applications DEADLINE for awarding bodies to receive requests for late subject awards (Unitised GCSE, GCE AS and A-level qualifications) 	
26 September		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DEADLINE for awarding bodies to receive requests for copies of scripts to support teaching and learning

GCSE November 2019 examination series

- 2.3 ‡For the GCSE November 2019 examination series the final closing date for awarding bodies to receive requests for copies of scripts to support reviews of marking is **23 January 2020, seven days after the publication of results.**
- 2.4 For the GCSE November 2019 examination series the final closing date for Review of Results Services (RoRs) is **13 February 2020, four weeks after the publication of results.**
- 2.5 ‡For the GCSE November 2019 examination series the final closing date for awarding bodies to receive requests for copies of scripts to support teaching and learning is **20 February 2020, five weeks after the publication of results.**
- 2.6 **Requests received after the respective closing date will not be accepted.**
Further information can be found in **section 4.5 – Acknowledgement, page 11.**

**For unitised GCSE qualifications in Wales and Northern Ireland, January 2020 examination series, please see <http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/key-dates-and-timetables>
For other qualifications and other series please see awarding body websites.**

‡Centres should check awarding bodies' websites as to the availability of copies of GCSE scripts.

*The receipt of scripts may be delayed if a centre has requested a review of marking in the same unit/component.

In exceptional cases, where results have been issued after the normal publication date, the closing date for applications will be extended by the same period as the delay.

3. Fees

- 3.1 Fees for post-results services (Access to Scripts, clerical re-checks, reviews of marking and reviews of moderation) are set independently by each individual awarding body.
- 3.2 Awarding bodies will publish their own arrangements relating to fees charged for post-results services.
- 3.3 For clerical checks and reviews of marking, the fee will not be charged if subject grades are amended, whether upwards or downwards.
- 3.4 For reviews of moderation, the fee will not be charged if centre marks are reinstated.
- 3.5 Where copies of scripts are requested as part of the Access to Scripts service, awarding bodies will normally invoice centres after the copies have been supplied.

SECTION TWO: Reviews of Results (RoRs) and Appeals

4. Reviews of Results (RoRs)

4.1 Centre responsibilities

- 4.1.1 All centre staff **must** be fully aware of the post-results process, **including the published deadlines for clerical re-checks, reviews of marking and reviews of moderation.**

Centres **must** make candidates aware of the arrangements for clerical re-checks, reviews of marking and reviews of moderation **before** they sit any examination(s). These arrangements also extend to private candidates.

Senior members of centre staff **must** be accessible to candidates immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed and decisions made on the submission of reviews of marking. Candidates **must** be informed of the periods during which centre staff will be available so that they may plan accordingly.

For internal candidates awarding bodies will **only** accept applications for reviews of marking from centres and not from candidates or their parents. Centres **must** ensure that they have a process in place for internal candidates to appeal the centre's decision not to pursue a review of marking.

Private candidates are encouraged to submit applications for reviews of marking via their centre. However, they may submit an application directly to an awarding body. Private candidates are identified by the centre when submitting entries and awarding bodies will use this information to validate applications that come directly from candidates. The awarding body will advise private candidates of the application process.

4.2 Candidate consent

- 4.2.1 **Centres must obtain written candidate consent for clerical re-checks and reviews of marking, as with these services candidates' marks and subject grades may be lowered. Failure to do so will be considered centre malpractice.**

Candidate consent for clerical re-checks and reviews of marking must be obtained after the publication of results.

- Candidates **must** be informed that their marks and subject grades may be lowered and **must** provide their written consent **before an application is submitted.** (A suggested form for centres to use is included as **Appendix A, page 19.** **Written consent from the candidate is also acceptable by e-mail.**)
- Consent forms or e-mails from candidates **must** be retained by the centre and kept for at least six months following the outcome of the clerical re-check or review of marking or any subsequent appeal. The awarding bodies reserve the right to inspect such documentation.
- An on-line application carries with it confirmation to the awarding body that the candidate's written consent has been obtained. (The submission of a signed application form does likewise.)

4.2.2 Written candidate consent is **not** required for a **review of moderation** as candidates' marks may be lowered but their **published subject grades will not be lowered** in the series concerned*. However, centres should be aware that a lowered mark may be carried forward to future certification. For example, if a non-examination assessment mark which contributes to an AS award (**unitised GCE AS qualification**) is lowered as a result of a review of moderation, the AS grade will be protected, but the lower mark will contribute to any subsequent A-level award (**unitised GCE A-level qualification**).

***In light of Ofqual's on-going reforms, centres should note that automatic protection of subject grades following a review of moderation may not apply in future examination series. Once a decision has been reached the JCQ member awarding bodies will inform centres.**

4.3 The awarding bodies offer the following Review of Results services.

4.3.1 Service 1 (Clerical re-check)

This is a re-check of all clerical procedures leading to the issue of a result.

- **Submit the application on-line.**
- Candidate consent is required and **must** be held on file by the centre (**see section 4.2, page 5**).
- For the **June 2019 examination series**, the request **must** be received by the awarding body by **19 September 2019**.
- **The deadline for completion is within 10 calendar days of the awarding body receiving the request.**

This service will include the following checks:

- that all parts of the script have been marked;
- the totalling of marks;
- the recording of marks.

The outcome of the clerical re-check will be reported along with a statement of the total marks awarded for each unit, or component, included in the enquiry.

Only Service 1 clerical re-checks can be requested for objective tests (multiple choice tests).

4.3.2 Service 2 (Review of marking)

This is a post-results review of the original marking to ensure that the agreed mark scheme has been applied correctly.

A marking error can occur as a result of:

- an administrative error;
- a failure to apply the mark scheme where a task has only a 'right' or 'wrong' answer;
- an unreasonable exercise of academic judgement.

The awarding body will train its reviewers to conduct reviews of marking accurately and consistently. **Reviewers will not re-mark the script.** They will only act to correct any errors identified in the original marking.

The service is available for externally assessed components of both unitised and linear GCE and GCSE specifications.

- **Submit the application on-line.**
- Candidate consent is required and **must** be held on file by the centre (see section 4.2, page 5).
- For the **June 2019 examination series**, the request **must** be received by the awarding body by **19 September 2019**.
- **The deadline for completion is within 20 calendar days of the awarding body receiving the request.**

This service will include:

- the clerical re-checks detailed in Service 1;
- a review of marking as described above.

Where a centre is concerned about the marking of an entire centre cohort, please refer to section 4.7, page 13.

Centres are strongly advised to submit requests for reviews of marking for all candidates, by subject, for whom they have concerns at the earliest opportunity. This should include all components/units, looking at the subject as a whole, where the centre has concerns. The awarding body will then be able to take a holistic view of the quality of marking and initiate any investigative action which may be required in a timely fashion.

Changes to candidates' results arising from a review of marking cannot lead to a subsequent late request for a review of moderation.

4.3.3 Priority Service 2 (Review of marking)

The service is available for externally assessed components of both unitised and linear GCE A-level specifications.

This is a priority post-results review of the original marking to ensure that the agreed mark scheme has been applied correctly.

A marking error can occur as a result of:

- an administrative error;
- a failure to apply the mark scheme where a task has only a 'right' or 'wrong' answer;
- an unreasonable exercise of academic judgement.

The awarding body will train its reviewers to conduct reviews of marking accurately and consistently. **Reviewers will not re-mark the script.** They will only act to correct any errors identified in the original marking.

Important: Awarding bodies advise candidates and/or their centres to inform any third party (such as a university or college) of any review of marking. An awarding body will not inform UCAS or others that a review of marking has been requested. Full details on the guidance provided by UCAS may be found at: <https://www.ucas.com/undergraduate/results-confirmation-and-clearing/results/exam-reviews-and-appeals>

- **Submit the application on-line.**
- Candidate consent is required and **must** be held on file by the centre (**see section 4.2, page 5**).
- For the **June 2019 examination series**, the request **must** be received by the awarding body no later than **22 August 2019 (seven days after the publication of GCE A-level results)**.
- **The deadline for completion is within 15 calendar days of the awarding body receiving the request.**

Centres are strongly advised to submit requests for reviews of marking for all candidates, by subject, for whom they have concerns at the earliest opportunity. This should include all components/units, looking at the subject as a whole, where the centre has concerns. The awarding body will then be able to take a holistic view of the quality of marking and initiate any investigative action which may be required in a timely fashion.

If a GCE A-level candidate is thinking of having a Priority Service 2 review of marking, please refer to the relevant awarding body's website to understand the implications of requesting a copy of the script.

4.3.4 Service 3 (Review of moderation)

This is a review of the original moderation to ensure that the assessment criteria have been fairly, reliably and consistently applied. **It is not a re-moderation of candidates' work.** The awarding body will have trained its reviewers to conduct reviews of moderation accurately and consistently.

Please note that if your centre's internally assessed marks (coursework or non-examination assessment) have been accepted without change by an awarding body, this service will not be available.

- **Submit the application on-line.**
- Candidate consent is **not** required (see section 4.2, page 5).
- For the **June 2019 examination series**, the request **must** be received by the awarding body by **19 September 2019**.
- **The deadline for completion is up to 35 calendar days due to the additional complexities of the process such as co-ordination between the centre and the moderator, and the moderator receiving the original sample of work from the centre.**
- **Centres should inform A-level candidates that reviews of moderation may not necessarily be completed to meet individual Universities' deadlines.**
- **The review of moderation will be undertaken on the original sample of candidates' work.**
- **A review of moderation cannot be undertaken upon the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample.**

A review of moderation cannot be undertaken where a mark for an internally assessed component has been transferred to a subsequent series, e.g. from June 2018 to June 2019.

Centres who are in possession of the original sample of work must ensure it is ready for despatch. They will be provided with the details of a moderator to whom the work should be sent. Centre assessed work must not be sent at the time of submitting the application.

Work submitted for a review of moderation **must**:

- be despatched to the moderator within **three working days. Failure to meet this undertaking may delay the outcome of the review or result in the review being cancelled;**
- be the original work submitted for moderation;
- have been kept under secure conditions and not returned to the candidates.

This service cannot be undertaken on ephemeral material unless suitable evidence (such as the media recording of theatrical performances) can be provided.

Centres should note that there may be a need for them to retain a copy of the work, if a candidate intends to re-submit work at the next assessment opportunity.

Centres operating as part of a consortium must submit requests on behalf of all centres within the consortium. Individual centres within the consortium cannot request a review of moderation solely on their own behalf.

Changes to candidates' results arising from a review of moderation cannot lead to a subsequent late request for a review of marking of a written examination component.

4.4 Submission of requests

Centres must submit requests on-line via the awarding bodies' extranet sites.

4.4.1 **The published post-result services are the only mechanism by which concerns about results will be addressed.**

4.4.2 Where a centre is unable to use an awarding body's extranet site, the centre **must** contact the individual awarding body immediately by telephone.

4.4.3 Letters of concern **cannot** be accepted as applications. Centres with such concerns **must** use the published post-results services. **Centres must not submit letters of concern with their applications.**

4.4.4 All requests for internal candidates **must** be submitted (and thus supported by the centre) by an authorised member of centre staff.

Requests for private candidates may either be submitted through the centre or submitted directly to an awarding body.

Awarding bodies will **not** accept applications submitted by any other individuals, e.g. by parents/carers.

4.4.5 Centres **must** have in place a published formal appeals procedure for use in cases where centres and candidates, or their parents/carers, cannot agree as to whether a review of marking should be submitted. The formal appeals procedure **must** be made widely available. Centres **must** therefore draw the appeals procedure to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers. In deciding whether to support a review of marking, centres should take account of all relevant factors and afford candidates or their parents/carers a reasonable opportunity to express their views. Centres are encouraged to extend the formal appeals procedure to any private candidates. **As regards internal candidates, awarding bodies can only enter into discussions over post-results services with centres. Awarding bodies will accept requests for post-results services directly from private candidates.**

4.4.6 **Candidates must provide their written consent for clerical re-checks and reviews of marking after the publication of results (see section 4.2, page 5, on candidate consent).**

4.4.7 Applications for unitised subjects **must** be made within the enquiry period immediately following the publication of results for the unit. **Applications cannot be made retrospectively for previous series.**

4.4.8 Please see awarding body contact points (inside front cover) for details of submitting requests to awarding bodies.

4.4.9 Awarding bodies may not cancel an enquiry after submission.

4.5 Acknowledgement

4.5.1 All applications will be acknowledged within **7 working days**.

4.5.2 If an acknowledgement is not received within this period, centres should presume that the application has not been received and **must** contact the awarding body **immediately**. Centres should also regularly check the progress of the application.

4.5.3 If contact is not made until after the deadline for submission of post-results services, the awarding body is not obliged to proceed with the application on-line.

4.6 Outcome of enquiries

4.6.1 The outcome of each enquiry will be confirmed by the respective awarding body.

4.6.2 The awarding body will provide a reason for the decision of a review of marking. If the mark has changed the reason will either be that an administrative error has occurred or there was a marking error. A marking error would occur where an examiner has not correctly applied the mark scheme or any other relevant procedure, i.e.

- if the 'right' mark was not given in a task where there is a 'right' or 'wrong' mark;
- if there has been an unreasonable exercise of academic judgement†.

If there is a disparity of two qualification grades or more between the original marker and the reviewer, the awarding body will provide the centre with specific details.

4.6.3 There are three possible outcomes arising from a review of moderation:

- no change to the original moderation decision;
- a correction to the original moderation decision;
- reinstatement of centre marks.

For the first two outcomes awarding bodies will provide a reason.

4.6.4 Where a grade changes and a certificate has previously been issued, a replacement will be provided showing the revised grade once the centre has returned the original certificate to the awarding body.

4.6.5 UCAS will be advised of any changes to GCE qualification grades. (Centres **must** familiarise themselves with UCAS arrangements - <https://www.ucas.com/advisers/?tile=tile-471>).

4.6.6 **Where there has been a reduction in marks or a downgrade following a review of marking, the request cannot be revoked and the original mark or grade will not be reinstated.**

†An academic judgement is what is involved when assessing. It is possible for different examiners reasonably to reach different judgements. Unreasonableness in academic judgement occurs where the mark given is one that no reasonable examiner could properly have awarded.

4.7 If a centre is concerned about the marking of a cohort

4.7.1 If a centre has concerns about one of its component/subject cohorts, then it should submit requests for reviews of marking for all candidates they believe to be affected. This will enable the awarding body to take a holistic view of the quality of marking and allow them to take any corrective action which may be required in a timely fashion.

4.7.2 Centres must obtain written candidate consent for reviews of marking after the publication of results, as with these services, candidates' marks and subject grades may be lowered.

- Candidates **must** be informed of this possible outcome and provide their written consent before an application is submitted. A suggested form for centres to use is included as **Appendix A, page 19. Written consent from the candidate is also acceptable by e-mail.**
- Consent forms or e-mails from candidates **must** be retained by the centre and kept for at least six months following the outcome of the review of marking or any subsequent appeal. The awarding bodies reserve the right to inspect such documentation.
- An on-line application carries with it confirmation to the awarding body that the candidate's written consent has been obtained. The submission of a signed application form does likewise.

4.7.3 Centres need to be aware that an awarding body is obliged to take further investigative action if there is evidence to support this, such as a significant trend in under or over marking.

4.7.4 Where an awarding body initiates investigative action candidates' marks and subject grades are not automatically protected. Candidates' marks and subject grades may therefore be lowered, confirmed or raised.

4.8 Uniform Mark Scale (UMS) Marks – unitised GCE and GCSE specifications

4.8.1 An explanation of how uniform marks are calculated may be found on awarding body websites. However, the following points may be helpful.

- Raw marks are the marks that are recorded on scripts by examiners – the maximum raw mark differs according to the unit/component.
- Candidates' results in unitised subjects are reported as uniform marks – these are fixed for all units/components with equal weighting.
- Uniform marks are calculated from raw marks.
- There will not necessarily be an obvious direct relationship between raw and uniform marks.
- Small variations in raw marks may in some circumstances lead to larger UMS differences.
- **Awarding bodies will monitor the outcome of reviews of marking in terms of raw marks and not uniform marks.**

4.9 Candidate malpractice

4.9.1 If candidate malpractice is discovered during a review of marking or a review of moderation, the script/coursework/non-examination assessment will be processed in accordance with the JCQ document *Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments – Policies and Procedures*. Candidates may lose some or all of their marks, consequently affecting grades awarded.

5. Appeals

- 5.1 The appeals process is available to centres, and private candidates, who remain dissatisfied **after receiving the outcome of a review of results**. Reference should be made to the JCQ publication *A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes* which is available on the JCQ website <http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/appeals>

The above-mentioned booklet provides full details of the awarding bodies' appeals processes and the associated timescales.

- 5.2 **Appeals can only be submitted after the outcome of a review of results has been reported to the centre.**

An appeal against a moderation decision cannot be made on behalf of an individual candidate.

- 5.3 **In respect of internal candidates, only the head of centre can submit an appeal to the relevant awarding body.**

- 5.4 Appeals **must** be made in writing and clearly state the grounds for appeal.

- 5.5 Awarding bodies may charge a fee for appeals. This fee will be refunded if the appeal is upheld.

Centres must have in place a published formal appeals procedure for use in cases where centres and candidates, or their parents/carers, cannot agree as to whether an appeal should be submitted to the relevant awarding body. The formal appeals procedure must be made widely available. Centres must therefore draw the appeals procedure to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers. In deciding whether to support an appeal, centres should take account of all relevant factors and afford candidates or their parents/carers a reasonable opportunity to express their views. In relation to internal candidates, awarding bodies can only enter into discussions over appeals with centres. Awarding bodies will accept appeals directly from private candidates.

SECTION THREE: Access to Scripts

6. Access to Scripts (ATS)

Centres may request:

- copies of scripts to support reviews of marking which will be provided to centres no later than 5 September 2019; and/or
- copies of scripts to support teaching and learning.

Centres must submit requests on-line via the awarding bodies' extranet sites.

Centre staff must be fully aware of the guidelines controlling these arrangements. Centres **must** make candidates aware of the arrangements for access to scripts before candidates sit any examination(s) to which these arrangements apply.

Centres must submit a request on behalf of a private candidate when asked to do so.

6.1 Arrangements for access to marked examination scripts

6.1.1 Awarding bodies will provide centres and their candidates with access to marked scripts for the following examinations:

- GCE AS and A-level
- GCSE

6.1.2 A 'script' refers to the written work of a candidate which has resulted from an externally assessed component. Arrangements for Access to Scripts **do not** apply to internally assessed components, orals or audio/media recordings.

6.1.3 Additional information on the interpretation of marked examination scripts may be found on awarding bodies' websites.

6.2 Conditions of Access to Scripts (ATS) service

Unless otherwise stated, references to 'candidates' includes both internal candidates and private candidates. Awarding bodies will only release copies of scripts to centres under the following conditions:

6.2.1 **A candidate has the right to instruct their centre not to request their script(s).**

Prior written permission must therefore be obtained from any candidate where the centre intends to request his/her script(s).

This permission must be sought only after the candidates have received their results for the respective examination series. Candidates who grant their permission have the right to anonymity of their scripts before use.

A specimen form for centre staff when seeking a candidate's written permission to request and use scripts is attached as **Appendix B, page 20**. **Written consent from the candidate is also acceptable by e-mail.**

6.2.2 Scripts **must** only be seen by teachers who are members of staff at that centre or within a consortium of centres, or returned directly to candidates.

6.3 Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking

Centres must submit applications on-line via the awarding bodies' extranet sites.

- 6.3.1 Centres will be able to request **copies of GCE AS, GCE A-level and GCSE scripts** (subject to availability) before deciding whether to lodge a review of marking.

If a GCE A-level candidate is thinking of having a Priority Service 2 review of marking, please refer to the relevant awarding body's website to understand the implications of requesting a copy of the script.

- 6.3.2 Requests **must** be submitted to awarding bodies no later than:

GCE AS and A-level scripts:

22 August 2019 – seven days after the publication of GCE AS and A-level results;

GCSE scripts

29 August 2019 – seven days after the publication of GCSE results.

- 6.3.3 Centres will receive the copies no later than **5 September 2019 – two weeks before the deadline for reviews of marking.**

- 6.3.4 Requests received after the deadline **will not** be accepted.

- 6.3.5 A centre that requires a copy of the script which has been subject to either a clerical re-check or a review of marking should refer to the relevant awarding body's website for further information.

6.4 Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning

Centres must submit applications on-line via the awarding bodies' extranet sites.

- 6.4.1 Requests **must** be submitted to awarding bodies no later than **26 September 2019, one week after the closing date for reviews of marking.**

- 6.4.2 Requests received after the deadline **will not** be accepted.

6.5 Mark schemes

- 6.5.1 A copy of the relevant mark scheme will be made available to centres by the awarding body, normally after the publication of results.

6.6 Disposal of scripts

- 6.6.1 Where teachers have used copies of candidates' scripts for teaching and learning purposes but no longer wish to retain them, they **must** ensure that the scripts are disposed of in a confidential manner.
- 6.6.2 With the exception of archive material, awarding bodies do not keep candidates' responses indefinitely. This includes examination scripts and electronic script images.

Appendix A



AQA City & Guilds CCEA OCR Pearson WJEC

Clerical re-checks, reviews of marking and Appeals

Candidate consent form

Information for candidates

The following information explains what may happen following a clerical re-check, a review of marking and any subsequent appeal.

If your school or college submits an application for a clerical re-check or a review of the original marking, and then a subsequent appeal, for one of your examinations after your subject grade has been issued, there are three possible outcomes:

- Your original mark is lowered, so your final grade may be lower than the original grade you received.
- Your original mark is confirmed as correct, so there is no change to your grade.
- Your original mark is raised, so your final grade may be higher than the original grade you received.

In order to proceed with the clerical re-check or review of marking, you **must** sign the form below. This tells the head of your school or college that you have understood what the outcome might be, and that you give your consent to the clerical re-check or review of marking being submitted.

Candidate consent form

Centre Number	Centre Name
Candidate Number	Candidate Name

Details of enquiry (Awarding Body, Qualification level, Subject title, component/unit)

.....
.....

I give my consent to the head of my school or college to submit a clerical re-check or a review of marking for the examination(s) listed above. In giving consent I understand that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded to me following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded for this subject.

Signed: Date:

This form should be retained on the centre's files for at least six months following the outcome of the clerical re-check, review of marking or any subsequent appeal.

Appendix B



AQA City & Guilds CCEA OCR Pearson WJEC

ACCESS TO SCRIPTS

Candidate consent form for access to and use of examination scripts

Centre Number	Centre Name
Candidate Number	Candidate Name
Subject	Component/unit code

I consent to my scripts being accessed by my centre.

Tick ONE of the boxes below:

If any of my scripts are used in the classroom I do not wish anyone to know they are mine. My name and candidate number must be removed.

If any of my scripts are used in the classroom I have no objection to other people knowing they are mine.

Signed: Date:

This form should be retained on the centre's files for at least six months.